

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18159 of 2017

=====

1. Raj Kumar Das, Son of Sri Narayan Das, resident of Mohalla- Gandhi Nagar, Katraiya, P.O.- Laxmisagar, P.S.- Darbhanga Sadar, District- Darbhanga.
2. Vandana Kumari, daughter of Sri Nawal Kishore Thakur, resident of Village- Ahiyari, P.O.- Ahiyari, P.S.- Kamtaul, District- Darbhanga.
3. Sureshwar Ray, son of Late Kapileshwar Ray, resident of Village- Pator, Via- Anandpur, P.O.- Pator, P.S.- Pator, Block- Hayaghat, District- Darbhanga.
4. Pramod Kumar Sah @ Pramod Kumar, Son of Sri Moti Prasad, resident of Ward No. 6, Village & P.O.- Jhajhihat, P.S.- Jhajhihat, District- Sitamarhi at present residing at Village & P.O.- Pupri, P.S.- Pupri, District- Sitamarhi.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Patna.
3. The Director, Primary Education, Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Education Officer, Darbhanga.
5. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Darbhanga.
6. The Block Development Officer, Darbhanga Sadar, Darbhanga.
7. The Block Education Officer, Darbhanga Sadar, Darbhanga.
8. The Headmaster, Primary School, Gosain Tol, Block- Darbhanga Sadar, District- Darbhanga.
9. The Headmaster, Primary School, Badhia, Block- Darbhanga Sadar, District- Darbhanga.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Alok Kumar
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Amit Bhushan -Gp17

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR
UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

2 19-01-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the
counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the
case of the petitioners is covered by the order dated 22.12.2017



passed in C.W.J.C. No. 18897 of 2017.

Considering the above, the writ petition is disposed of with direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners in the light of decision in C.W.J.C. No. 417 of 2015 and in case the respondents arrive at a conclusion that the case of the petitioners is similar of C.W.J.C. No. 417 of 2015, the respondents are under obligation to ensure similar relief to these petitioners also within a maximum period of sixty days from today.

With the aforesaid, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

