

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.64360 of 2018

=====

Urmila Devi, Wife of Bhubneshwar Kamat, Resident of Village-Barail,
P.S.-Supaul, Distt.-Supaul.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Arun Kumar Jha, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party : Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 26-10-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner is apprehending her arrest in a case for
the offence registered under Sections 304(B)/34 of the IPC.

The prosecution story, in brief, is that the accused
persons including the petitioner killed the victim due to non-
fulfilment of demand of dowry.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the
petitioner that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. There
is no allegation of tampering with the witnesses alleged against the
petitioner. The petitioner has been made accused in the present
case due to mistake of fact. The petitioner is mother-in-law of the
deceased. She is separate in mess and property from the husband



of the deceased. The petitioner has got no concern with the alleged occurrence.

On behalf of the learned counsel for the State, it has been submitted that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R/ complaint case.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner above named, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Supaul, in connection with Supaul P.S. Case No. 135 of 2017, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

(Sudhir Singh, J)

