

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17627 of 2018

=====

Ajay Goyal Son of Sri Abhay Kumar, resident of Village- Meenapur, P.O.+
P.S.- Hajipur, District- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India, through its Secretary, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India.
2. The Bihar Combined Entrance Competitive Examination Board through its Chairman.
3. The Examination Controller, Bihar Combined Entrance Competitive Examination Board, Patna.
4. The Medical Council of India, New Delhi through its Chairman.
5. The Secretary, Medical Council of India, New Delhi.
6. The Central Board of Secondary Education, New Delhi through its Secretary.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rajeev Kumar Verma, Sr. Advocate Mr. Prashant Sinha
For the Union of India	:	Mr. S.D Sanjay (Addl. Soc. Gen.) Ms. Punam Kumari Singh, CGC
For the BCECEB	:	Mr. Prasoon Sinha
For the CBSE	:	Mr. Vinay Krishna Tripathy
For the MCI	:	Mr. Kumar Brijendra

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 06-09-2018 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner claims that he is a differently abled candidate who had applied for admission in MBBS course through National Eligibility Entrance Test (NEET). He has filed this writ application seeking a direction upon respondent-authorities to allow him admission in MBBS course in a Medical College of his choice. There is statement made in paragraph-10 of the writ application that pursuant to notice dated 10.08.2018, issued by the Bihar Combined Entrance



Competitive Examination Board (BCECEB), the date of counselling for admission was fixed on 11.08.2018. At the same breathe, in the very next paragraph it has been stated that he had applied for obtaining a disability certificate before the Sub-Divisional Hospital Barh, on 11.08.2018. It is evident thus, that on the date of counselling fixed by the BCECEB, the petitioner was not in possession of the desired disability certificate. In such circumstance, the petitioner cannot have any grievance against non-consideration of his case for admission against disabled quota.

3. There is yet another aspect of the matter. The last date for admission to MBBS course, appears to have expired. In view of the decision of the Apex Court, this Court cannot entertain the prayer which has been made in the writ application.

4. This application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Ashish/-

U			
---	--	--	--

