

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2485 of 2017

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9450 of 2016

1. Kapil Prasad, Son of Rajan Yadav,
2. Rajballabh Yadav, Son of Late Mahbir Yadav,
Both are Resident of Village- Panchwai, P.S.- Barabar Paryatan, District-
Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1.The State Of Bihar Through Sri Manoj Kumar Sinha, Collector Cum
District Magistrate, Jehanbad & Ors
2. The Dr. Nawal Kishore Choudhari, Sub-Divisional Officer, Jehanabad.
3. Sri Niranjana Kumar, Circle-Officer, Makhdumpur.

.....Opposite Parties 1st set.

4. Kameshwar Yadav.
5. Yugal Yadav,
6. Subelal Yadav,
7. Munni Yadav, and
8. Laldeo Yadav.

Sl. No. 03 to 07 are Sons of – Late Ramchritra Yadav, Resident of Village-
Panchwai, P.S.- Barabar Paryatan, District- Jehanabad.

.....Opposite Parties 2nd Set.

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sudhanshu Kumar Lal, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Khurshid Alam - AAG 12

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

4 30-04-2018 Complaining disobedience of the order passed on
03.10.2016 in CWJC No. 9450 of 2016, this application has
been filed for initiating action for contempt.

The writ petition was disposed of with a direction to
the concerned statutory authority to dispose of Encroachment
Case No. 1 of 2016 within a period of three months. The
aforesaid order was passed in a public interest writ petition



without notice to the respondents and now *inter alia* contending that within the time stipulated, the Encroachment Case has not been decided, this application has been filed for initiating action for contempt.

Keeping in view the aforesaid grievance of the petitioner, for the present, without initiating any action for contempt, respondent nos. 1, 2 and 3 are directed to ensure that Encroachment Case No. 1 of 2016 is decided, if not already done, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, failing which the petitioner shall bring the fact to the notice of the Court and this Court would consider initiating action for contempt against respondent nos. 1, 2 and 3.

With the aforesaid, the contempt application stands disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Sanjay Kumar, J)

Sunil/-

U			
---	--	--	--

