

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.44595 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -209 Year- 2018 Thana -KHAZANIHAT District- PURNIA

=====

1. Gulam Naiyar @ Bablu son of Late Jamaluddin R/o Village - Kukraun,
P.S. - Dhamdaha, District - Purnea.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dr. Bidhu Ranjan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Murlidhar, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 31-07-2018 Heard the parties.

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in connection with K.Hat (Maranga) P.S.Case No. 209 of 2018, registered for offences punishable under Sections 420 and 380 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per F.I.R., allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner has taken away ornaments worth Rs seven lakhs and other articles from the house of the informant.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the informant is habitual for lodging false case and moreover the father of the informant has lodged Jalalgarh P.S.Case No. 251 of 2014 in which the statement of the informant recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. in which she has stated that she already



married with Ashok Kumar out of her own sweet will. It is further submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

Learned A.P.P. as well as learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the prayer for bail with a view that the witnesses have supported the allegation.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts circumstances, as discussed above, let the petitioner, above named, surrenders be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Purnea in connection with K. Hat (Maranga) P.S. Case No. 209 of 2018, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of Cr.P.C. with condition that one of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned court.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

Sudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

