

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.46472 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -234 Year- 2018 Thana -BODHGAYA District- GAYA

- =====
1. Satyanand Prasad son of Late Ramji Mahato
 2. Rajesh Prasad son of Satyanand Prasad
 3. Tetari Devi wife of Rajesh Prasad, resident of Village- Prahanda, P.S.- Cherki, District- Gaya.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prithivi Raj Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Akshay Lal Pandit

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 21-08-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in Bodh Gaya (Cherki) P.S. Case No. 234/2018, instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 302, 201 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that petitioners are father-in-law, brother-in-law and sister-in-law respectively of the deceased. In the written report, there is general and omnibus allegation against these petitioners.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is allowed. In the



event of surrender/arrest of the petitioners, named above, within six weeks from today, in connection with Bodh Gaya (Cherki) P.S. Case No. 234/2018, they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gaya, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioners and (3) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J.)

Rakhi

U		T	
---	--	---	--

