

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.46129 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -69 Year- 2018 Thana -GURARU District- GAYA

=====

Pranav Kumar @ Guddu Kumar, S/o Pramod Singh @ Pramod Kumar
Singh, R/o Vill.- Ghatera, P.S.- Guraru, District- Gaya.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Tej Narayan Singh, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Akhileshwar Dayal, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 16-08-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in **Guraru P.S.**

Case No. 69 of 2018 instituted for the offence under Sections 385
and 387 of the Indian Penal Code.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the
instant case is counter blast of case filed by father of petitioner for
the same date of occurrence against the informant and others vide
Guraru P.S. Case No. 70 of 2018. It has further been submitted
that informant is Mukhiya. Petitioner had done work of Rs.1,500/-
and when he made demand of Rs.1,500/-, the instant case has been
filed by the informant.

In the instant case there is allegation that petitioner
made demand of *rangdari*.



Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks from today, in connection with **Guraru P.S. Case No. 69 of 2018**, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned **Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-V, Gaya**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr. P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel his bail bond and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

S.Ali/-
Rohit Kr.

U		T	
---	--	---	--

