

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.1287 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -77 Year- 2017 Thana -ISHAKCHAK District- BHAGALPUR

- =====
1. Girija Bhushan Mishra, son of Ramesh Dutt Mishra, resident of Mohalla- Gola Ghat Road, Naya Bazar, Police Station Tatarpur, District of Bhagalpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sanju Devi, w/o Ram Chandra Prasad Singh, resident of Mohalla- Gayatri Mandir, Sastu Bhawan, Kali Asthan, P.S.-Ishakchak, P.O.- Ishakchak, District- Bhagalpur.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

With

Criminal Miscellaneous No.199 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -77 Year- 2017 Thana -ISHAKCHAK District- BHAGALPUR

- =====
1. Gopal Prasad Sah, son of Ganga Dhar Sah, resident of Village/Mohalla- Dina Sah Lane, Near Sanskrit School, Mundichak, P.S.- Mundichak, Distt- Bhagalpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sanju Devi, w/o Ram Chandra Prasad Singh, resident of Mohalla- Gayatri Mandir, Sastu Bhawan, Badi Kali Asthan, P.S.-Ishakchak, P.O.- Ishakchak, District- Bhagalpur

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

(In Cr.Misc. No.1287 of 2018)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gopal Prasad Roy, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, APP

(In Cr.Misc. No.199 of 2018)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Swapnil Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Sharma, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA

ORAL ORDER

5/ 09-05-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned

APP for the State.



Petitioners apprehend their arrest in **Ishakchak P.S. Case No.77 of 2017** instituted for the offence under Section(s) 467, 468, 469, 419, 420, 406/34 Indian Penal Code pending in the Court of the **Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-VI, Bhagalpur.**

Allegation in the written report is that the petitioners and other accused persons, as mentioned in the written report, opened a Company in the name and style of Vikramshila Mutual Benefit Company. The informant was allured by the petitioners to become agent of the Company and the informant deposited a sum of Rs.2,40,000/- from the public as also her own amount. After maturity, when the informant went to the accused persons then all the accused persons refused to pay the maturity amount and fled away after closing the company.

Counsel for the petitioner(s) has pointed out Annexure-3 (Cr. Misc. No.1287 of 2018), which is resolution of the meeting of different Office bearers of the Company, which shows that these petitioners and other Office bearer have collected huge amount of money from different persons and fled away after collecting the same.

In such circumstances, this Court does not find it a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail.



Prayer of the petitioners for grant of anticipatory
bail is **rejected**.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

JA/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

