

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.30090 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-62 Year-2016 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Patna

=====

Satyendra Kumar @ Satyendra Yadav, S/o Nageshwar Singh @ Jay Narain
Singh, Resident of Village- Isopur, P.S.- Khusrupur, Dist- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raj Bansh Dubey

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Kumar Ranjit Ranjan

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-05-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

Petitioner apprehends his arrest in Mahila P. S. case no.
62 of 2016 instituted for the offence under Section(s) 376 and
420 of the Indian Penal Code.

The informant has alleged in the written report that she
is widow and she came in contact with petitioner who is also a
widower. Thereafter, the informant started living with the
petitioner in the rented house of Anil Singh as his wife. It is
further alleged that this petitioner established physical
relationship with her. Later on, he denied to perform court
marriage with her. From the narration of the allegation made in
the written report itself it appears that informant was living with
the petitioner voluntarily.



In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks from today in connection with Mahila P. S. case no. 62 of 2016, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned CJM Patna, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C. with further conditions:(1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioner and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

shyambihari/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

