

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.12907 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -97 Year- 2017 Thana -SHIVSAGAR District- SASARAM (ROHTAS)

=====

SATYENDRA PATHAK, Son of Raj Narayan Pathak, Resident of Village-
Anantpur, Police Station-Sheosagar, District-Rohtas.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sada Nand Roy

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Asharaf Ansari

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 03-04-2018

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner apprehends his arrest in Sheosagar P.S. Case
No.97 of 2017 for the offences under Sections 341, 342, 323, 307,
379/34 of the I.P.C. and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

Petitioner is named in the F.I.R. along with other co-
accused persons but no specific allegation of firing is attributed
against this petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
accused persons against whom main allegation of firing has been
made, have already been granted benefit of anticipatory bail and
other accused against whom there is allegation of standing there
along with other accused persons has already been granted
anticipatory bail by coordinate Bench of this Court vide order



dated 18.10.2017 passed in Cr.Misc.No.49245 of 2017.

Heard learned A.P.P. also and opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances of the case and other similarly situated co-accused have already been granted anticipatory bail, prayer for anticipatory bail is allowed. Let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within six weeks from today, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction A.C.J.M.-II, Sasaram, Rohtas, in Sheosagar P.S. Case No.97 of 2017 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C. and further conditions that his bailors must be of local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the Court and the petitioner shall cooperate in the investigation.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

AnilKrSinha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

