

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.11428 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-264 Year-2017 Thana- JHAJHA District- Jamui

-
1. Ringo Yadav @ Rigo Yadav, son of Doman Yadav
 2. Kamo Yadav, Son of Doman Yadav,
 3. Pintu Yadav, Son of Doman Yadav,
 4. Singho Yadav, Son of Doman Yadav, All are residence of Village- Karma, P.S.- Jhajha, District- Jamui.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bal Mukund Prasad Sinha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

3 10-04-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the State.

The petitioners apprehend arrest in Jhajha P.S. Case No. 264 of 2017, instituted for the offence under Sections 436 of the IPC.

Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that instant case has been filed as counter blast of earlier case filed against the husband of the informant and others vide Complaint Case No. 988-C of 2017 and Jhajha P.S. Case No. 255 of 2017.

In the instant case, there is allegation that, on the date of occurrence, in the night, all the named accused persons set fire in the veranda of the informant and fled away. From the written report itself, it appears that no seizure list has been prepared and no any



description of the article alleged to have been burnt has been mentioned. It is mentioned in paragraph 3 to this petition that petitioners has no criminal antecedent.

In such circumstances, prayer for anticipatory bail is allowed and it is ordered that the petitioners, named above, in the event of their arrest or surrender in the court below within six weeks from the date of receipt/production of copy of this order, shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each in connection with Jhajha P.S. Case No. 264 of 2017, to the satisfaction of the learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Jamui, subject to condition as laid down u/S 438 (2) of the Cr. P.C. with further conditions (1) (bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every dated fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason, will automatically cancel bail bonds of the petitioners and (3) if the petitioners tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

khushbu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

