

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.16559 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -80 Year- 2016 Thana -RAJPUR District-
EASTCHAMPARAN(MOTIHARI)

- =====
1. Ramashankar Sah, son of Kishori Sah,
 2. Nawal Kishore @ Nawal Kishore Prasad Gupta, son of Kashi Sah,
 3. Kamal Kishore @ Kamal Kishore Prasad Gupta, son of Kashi Sah,
 4. Shambhu Prasad Chaurasiya, son of Late Banaras Bhagat,
 5. Jitendra Kumar, son of Ramnath Sah @ Ramnath Prasad,
 6. Ravindra Kumar, son of Late Maujelal Sah,
 7. Jitendra Kumar, son of Achchelal Sah,
 8. Raj Kumar Sah, son of Late Vishwanath Sah,
 9. Sanjit Kumar, son of Late Ramashish Sah
 10. Pramod Kumar, son of Dudhnath Sah,
 11. Sri Narayan Sah @ Shri Narayan Prasad, son of Late Muneshwar Sah @
Late Bhuneshwar Sah,
 12. Ajeet Kumar, son of Late Ramashish Sah
 13. Ramesh Kumar, son of Kishori Sah,
 14. Suman Kumar, son of Madan Sah,
 15. Manoj Kumar Paswan, son of Ramanand Paswan,
 16. Shambhu Mahto, son of Yogi Mahto,
 17. Pradeep Sah @ Pradeep Kumar Sah, son of Kishori Sah
 18. Jailal Ram @ Jiyalal Ram, son of Rajaram Ram,
 19. Manish Kumar, son of Lalbabu Sah,
 20. Sheojee Sah, son of Late Ramcharitra Sah,
 21. Nawal Paswan @ Nawal Kishore Paswan, son of Late Naga Paswan,
 22. Harendra Ram @ Harindra Ram, son of Late Bishnudeo Ram,
 23. Dharmendra Kumar Yadav, son of Kishori Sah,
 24. Yogi Sahani, son of Yodhha Sahani,
 25. Raj Kumar Sah, son of Kashi Sah,
 26. Jitendra Sahani, son of Ram Chandra Sahani,
- All residents of Village- Tetariya, P.S. Rajepur, District- East Champaran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Umesh Chandra Verma, Advocate.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dinesh Singh, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 28-03-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the
State.



The petitioners apprehend their arrest in **Rajepur P.S. Case No. 80 of 2016** instituted for the offence under Sections 143, 144, 145, 147, 148, 149, 151, 152, 153A, 160, 295, 295A, 297, 298, 395, 341, 323, 342 and 504 of the Indian Penal Code.

The petitioners are not named in the written report.

From the impugned order it appears that name of the petitioners have come in the supervision note of Deputy Superintendent of Police.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioners, named above, within six weeks from today, in connection with **Rajepur P.S. Case No. 80 of 2016**, they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of **learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Sadar, East Champaran, Motihari**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr. P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and their absence on two consecutive dates without proper and



reasonable reason will be liable to cancel their bail bond and (3) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

S.Ali/-

(Sanjay Priya, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

