

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.9900 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -169 Year- 2017 Thana -KARJA District- MUZAFFARPUR

- =====
1. Arun Kushwaha, son of Baidyanath Kushwaha,
 2. Asha devi, wife of Arun Kushwaha
 3. Sandip Kumar, son of Arun Kushwaha, All Resident of Village-Karja
Naya Tola P.S.- Karja, Dist.-Muzaffarpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nityanand, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kumar Ranjit Ranjan, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2/ 21-02-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned

APP for the State.

Petitioners apprehend their arrest in **Karja P.S.**

Case No.169 of 2017 instituted for the offence under Section(s)
304-B, 201/34 Indian Penal Code.

Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that initially police after investigation submitted final form against these petitioners, but cognizance has been taken by the learned Court below against these petitioners also along with husband. Petitioners are father-in-law, mother-in-law and brother-in-law respectively.

In the written report, there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners.



In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of the petitioners for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioners, named above, within six weeks from today in connection with **Karja P.S. Case No.169 of 2017**, they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the **Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Second, (Western), Muzaffarpur**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C. with further conditions: (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and their absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel their bail bonds and (3) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

JA/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

