

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.17296 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -620 Year- 2002 Thana -JEHANABAD COMPLAINT CASE District-
JEHANABAD

=====

Umesh Kumar @ Amesh Kumar, son of Sri Chandrayuta Mahto,
Residence of Village- Kako, P.S.- Kako, Dist.- Jehanabad.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Mosmat Shanti Devi, Wife of late Bachu Mali, Resident of Village-
Kako, P.S.-Kako, Dist.- Jehanabad.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nitya Nand Neeraj

For the Opposite Party/s : Smt Indu Kumari Srivastava

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN
SHARMA**

ORAL ORDER

2 10-04-2018 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
the learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner wants to renew his prayer for pre-arrest
bail which was earlier permitted to be withdrawn vide Cr. Misc.
No. 53869 of 2016 and again his prayer for pre-arrest bail was
rejected by order dated 19.04.2017 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 16633
of 2017, on the ground that co-accused identically placed have
been granted privilege of anticipatory bail.

The learned A.P.P. submits that this plea was raised at
the time of hearing of Cr. Misc. No. 16633 of 2017 and then his
prayer for pre-arrest bail was rejected.



In the facts and circumstances stated above, considering that there is no fresh ground for reconsideration of prayer for pre-arrest bail of the petitioner, again prayer for pre-arrest of the petitioner stands rejected in connection with Complaint Case No. 620 of 2002 pending in the court of Sri Sudhir Sinha, Sub. Judge 1st, Jehanabad.

The petitioner shall surrender and seek regular bail placing all the relevant facts and further that other co-accused have been allowed pre-arrest bail and in case the petitioner seeks regular bail then his prayer for regular bail shall be considered on the same day without being prejudiced by this order.

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J)

Abhay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

