

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2174 of 2017**

**In**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4989 of 2016**

=====

Anuj Kumar, s/o late Baleshwar Prasad Singh, resident of village, Ashrafpur  
Supaul, P.S. Patori, Distt- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Sri R. K. Mahajan, the State Of Bihar through Principal Secretary,  
Human Resources Department, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna
2. Sri M. Ram Chandradeo, the Director, Primary Education, Human  
Resources Department, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna
3. Sri Brajesh Kumar Ojha, the Regional Deputy Director, Human  
Resources Department, Muzaffarpur
4. Dr. Sangeeta Sinha, the District Education Officer, Hazipur, Vaishali
5. Sri Dinesh Kr. Choudhary, the District Programme Officer,  
(Establishment), Vaishali
6. Sri Mukesh Kumar, the Block Development Officer, Jandaha Block,  
Vaishali
7. Md. Soffee Alam, the Block Education Officer, Jandaha Block,  
Vaishali
8. Sri Shivjee Ram, the Panchayat Employment Unit, Village Panchayat  
Mansinghpur, Bijrauli, Block- Jandaha, District, Vaishali
9. Smt. Pawanti Devi, the Mukhia, Mashipur, Bijrauli, Panchayat,  
Block, Jandaha, District, Vaishali
10. Sri Umesh Kr. Singh, the Block Resources Cordinator,
11. Sri Raj Kishore Chaubey, the Chairman, District Teachers Selection  
Appellate Tribunal, Vaishali of Hazipur/ Presiding Officer, District  
Appellate Authority, Vaishali

... .. Opposite Parties

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                                             |
|----------------------|---|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Banwari Sharma,<br>Mr. Chandra Bhushan Verma, Advocates |
| For the State        | : | Mr. P. M. Sharan, A.C. to A.A.G. 15                         |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE RAVI RANJAN**

**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**Date : 18-08-2018**

In view of the final order having been passed by the  
  
Appellate Tribunal, this proceeding cannot continue any further  
  
and accordingly, the same is dropped. However, the petitioner, if  
  
so advised, would be at liberty to assail the decision of the



Appellate Tribunal before a competent forum or take remedial steps available to him under law.

**(Dr. Ravi Ranjan, J)**

Sanjay/-

|                   |             |
|-------------------|-------------|
| AFR/NAFR          | NAFR        |
| CAV DATE          | NA          |
| Uploading Date    | 18..08.2018 |
| Transmission Date | NA          |

