

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 8887 of 2017

=====

Meena Devi W/o-Suresh Sah, D/o -Late Munakiya Devi and Late Basant Kumar Satpuri @ Nathuni Sah, resident of Vill+Post-Kasba Ahar, P.S.-Tajpur, Dist-Samastipur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Minor Irrigation, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Engineer in Chief, Department of Minor Irrigation, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Chief Engineer, Department of Minor Irrigation, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Superintending Engineer, Department of Minor Irrigation, Circle, Chhapra.
6. The Executive Engineer, Minor Irrigation Division, Combined Building, Muzaaffarpur.
7. The Accountant General, Birchand Patel Path, Bihar, Patna.
8. The Treasury Officer, Muzaaffarpur.
9. The General Manager, State Bank of India.
10. The Assistant General Manager, State Bank of India, C.P.P.C (4476) 4th Floor, J.C. road, Patna.
11. The Zonal Manager, State Bank of India, Muzaaffarpur zone.
12. The Branch Manager, State Bank of India, Club road, Muzaaffarpur.
13. The Branch Manager, Ahiyapur Branch, Muzaaffarpur.
14. The District Magistrate, Muzaaffarpur.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Siyaram Pandey, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Shailendra Kumar, A.C. to P.A.A.G. 2
For the Accountant General	:	Mr. Uday Kumar, Advocate
For the State Bank of India	:	Mr. Kaushlendra Kumar Sinha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 03-04-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner; State and State Bank of India.

2. The issue despite being simple has been unnecessarily complicated, partly by the petitioner himself and moreso by the State Bank of India. The mother of the petitioner in her pension account had made the petitioner a nominee. Upon her death, now the



petitioner claims payment of the amount due to her. Though, initially learned counsel for the State Bank of India had taken the plea that various formalities are required to be completed which has not been done, today he has modified the stand and has submitted in categorical terms that if the petitioner makes an application to the State Bank of India giving details of his identity proof showing that he is the person who has been nominated in the concerned account and also gives details of the account number in which he wants the money to be credited, the State Bank of India shall act upon the same.

3. In view thereof, the writ petition stands disposed off with liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate application before the respondent no. 12 with regard to the aforesaid issue. He shall satisfy the authorities with regard to his identity that he is the same person who has been nominated in the account and shall also furnish his account number so that the amount, in terms of the order of the Treasury Officer, Muzaffarpur as communicated under Memo No. 192 dated 04.09.2015, to the respondent no. 12, copy of which has been made Annexure-5 to the writ petition and which has not been disputed or controverted by learned counsel for the State Bank of India, is credited to his account. The same be done expeditiously and latest within three weeks from the date of the petitioner filing



representation in the aforementioned terms. The Court would record that the present order is passed on the basis of the stand taken by learned counsel for the State Bank of India.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J.)

P. Kumar

AFR/NAFR	
U	

