

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.68479 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-488 Year-2018 Thana- PURNEA SADAR District- Purnia

Mirnal Datta @ Kalu Son of Mangal Datta Resident of Village- Khuskibagh,
P.S. Sadar, District- Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Praveen Kumar Agrawal

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Satyendra Narayan Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 05-12-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

The petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in connection with Sadar P.S. Case No. 488 of 2018 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 332, 336, 333, 353, 307, 427, 290, 435, 323/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against petitioner is that he was indulged in damaging the government property on the date of occurrence on account of death of two persons in road accident.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. There is no specific allegation against petitioner. Similarly placed co-accused namely, Tanvir @ Tanveer and Gopal Thakur have been granted bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order



dated 27.11.2018 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 67861 of 2018 and 68152 of 2018. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is in custody since 02.09.2018.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Purnea, in connection with Sadar P.S. Case No. 488 of 2018 subject to the conditions that:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and sufficient reason the trial court will be at liberty to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

