

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.58707 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -514 Year- 2018 Thana -BETTIAH CITY District-
WESTCHAMPARAN(BETTIAH)

=====

Sikandar Yadav, Son of Sri Bhola Yadav, Resident of Village- Parvatiya
Tola, P.S. - Bettiah Town, District- West Champaran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Umesh Chandra Verma, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nityanand Tiwary, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 09-10-2018 Heard learned counsel for petitioner and learned A.P.P.
for the State.

Petitioner seeks bail in Bettiah Town (Kalibag) P.S.
Case No. 514/2018, registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 387, 120(B) and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Informant alleged that on 05.04.2018 he received a call
from mobile no. +9779803988162 on his mobile no. 7033142226
and the caller demanded ransom of Rs. 15 lakh and threatened him
of dire consequence. Again on 01.06.2018 the caller demanded Rs.
15 lakh from mobile no. +916200112066.

It has been submitted that petitioner has falsely been
implicated in this case. His name has surfaced in this case on
confession of co-accused Anuj Kumar @ Tufan Singh, who has



stated that Santosh Singh informed him that Sikandar Yadav (petitioner) played role of middleman. No incriminating article has been found against this petitioner.

Petitioner is in custody since 01.07.2018.

Considering the facts aforesaid, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate, West Champaran at Bettiah in connection with Bettiah Town (Kalibag) P.S. Case No. 514/2018, subject to the conditions:

- (1.) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.
- (2.) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be sufficient to cancel his bail bonds.
- (3.) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for



cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

Vinita/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

