

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Letters Patent Appeal No.1856 of 2017

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7169 of 2014

=====

Council Of Patent Medicine, Ara, Bhojpur through it's Chairman Dr. Gaya Prasad Nirbhay, S/o Late Basawan Sah, R/o Jagdev Nagar, P.S. Ara Nawada, District- Ara, Bhojpur.

... .. Appellant

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Chief Secretary, New Delhi.
2. The Secretary, Department of Home, Govt. of India, New Delhi.
3. The State of Bihar through its Chief Secretary.
4. The Director General of Police, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Secretary, Council of Patent Medicine, R.O.- Jagdeo Nagar, Near Bazar Samiti, Ara- 802301.
6. The Director, J.P Institute of Patent Medical Science & Hospital Road No.- 5, Juran Chhapra Mahesh Babu Chauk, Muzaffarpur- 842003 Presently situated at Mohalla- Shubhankar Nagar, Old Motihari Road, Bayriya, P.S.- Bairiya, Districct- Muzaffarpur.
7. The Principal, Medical College of Patent Medicine, Regd Office Jagdeo Nagar, Near Bazar Samiti, Ara - 802301.
8. The Director, Central Bureau of Investigation, New Delhi.
9. Umesh Chand, son of Shri Ram Lakhan, resident of House No. - 344 Sanjay Colony, Near Sector - 22, Street no. - 65, New Industrial Town, P.S. - Mujeshar, District - Faridabad - 121005 (Haryana).
10. Arun Kumar, son of Shri Ram Chandra Bhakta resident of village & P.O. - Chadhua, P.S. - Kudhani, District - Muzaffarpur, At present, resident of House No. - 83, Shramik Vihar, Sector - 30, P.S. - Sector - 31, District - Faridabad - 121003 (Haryana).

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant	:	Mr. Ashok Choudhary, Advocate Mr. Manoj Kumar Manoj, Advocate
For the Respondent UoI :		Mr. S.D.Sanjay, Addl. Solicitor General Mr. Kumar Priya Ranjan, C.G.C.
For the Respondent State :		Mrs. Shama Sinha, AC to AAG-9
For the C.B.I. :		Mr. Bipin Kumar Sinha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI
and
HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. NILU AGRAWAL
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI)

5 25-06-2018

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since the writ application itself is still pending for
final adjudication, the counsel for the appellant or the appellant



will have all the privilege of raising all aspects of law and fact before the learned single Judge. If proper assistance is given and all the facets, we are sure an appropriate final order will emerge in the adjudication, which is C.W.J.C. No. 7169 of 2014.

It will be in the interest of things that the learned single Judge is allowed to decide the matter in its entirety. No interim or lateral kind of adjudication or interference is required.

Appeal is dismissed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

(Nilu Agrawal, J)

Pawan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

