

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No.60719 of 2018**

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -46 Year- 2015 Thana -HULASGANJ District- JEHANABAD

=====

Chunnu Sharma S/o Munshi Sharma @ Muneshwar Sharma, R/o Vill.-  
Kewla, P.S.- Hulashganj, District- Jehanabad.

.... .... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... .... Opposite Party

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jharkhandi Upadhyay

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Binod Kumar 3

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      06-10-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

Petitioner prays for bail in connection with Hulashganj  
P.S.Case No. 46 of 2015 registered for the offences punishable  
under Sections 384 of the Indian Penal Code and 17 of C.L.A.  
Act.

Allegation against the petitioner is of demanding 10%  
profit of contract and petitioner is not named in the FIR and later  
on his name transpires in the confessional statement of co-accused  
Anil Yadav.

Submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is that on  
the basis of the confessional statement he has been made accused  
in other cases also and he is on bail in all those cases and he is in  
custody since 25.7.2018 and co-accused Anil Yadav, in whose  
confession name of petitioner has come, has been granted bail vide



order dated 1.10.2015 passed in Cr.Misc.No. 46434 of 2015 by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court and another co-accused has been granted bail vide order dated 10.9.2018 passed in Cr.Misc.No. 37775 of 2018 by co-ordinate Bench of this Court.

Heard learned APP also.

Having heard both sides and in the facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, named above, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jehanabad, in connection with Hulashganj P.S.Case No. 46 of 2015, subject to the condition that one of the bailors shall be a local person having sufficient immoveable properties within the jurisdiction of court concerned.

**(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)**

spa/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

