

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.52265 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -163 Year- 2007 Thana -JEHANABAD COMPLAINT CASE District-
JEHANABAD

=====

Kamlesh Chaudhary, S/o Surendra Chaudhary, R/o Vill.- Rohai, P.S.-
Karpi, District- Arwal.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Manju Devi, W/o Kamlesh Chaudhary, R/o Vill.- Rohai, P.S.- Karpi,
District- Arwal, Presently R/o Vill.- Narayanpur, P.S.- Kako, District-
Jehanabad.

.... Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Umesh Kumar, Advocate.

For the State : Mr. Shailendra Kumar -2, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 04-09-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case for
the offence registered under Sections 323, 498(A), 494 of the IPC
and 4 of the D. P. Act.

The prosecution story, in brief, is that the accused
persons including the petitioner tortured the victim due to non-
fulfilment of demand of dowry.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the
petitioner that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. There
is no allegation of tampering with the witnesses alleged against the



petitioner. The petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case due to petty family dispute. It has further submitted that the matter has already been compromised between the parties vide Annexure-2 to the present application. The case is triable by the Magistrate. The petitioner has further relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182.

On behalf of the learned counsel for the State, it has been submitted that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R/ complaint case.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner above named, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M. Jehanabad, in connection with Complaint Case No. 163 of 2007, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

(Sudhir Singh, J)