

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No. 3502 of 2017

Arising out of

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 11053 of 2017

=====

Pradeep Paswan, Son of Anandi Paswan Resident of Mohalla- Chandheri, Police Station- Sabour, District- Bhagalpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Mr. Raj Kumar Singh Son of not known to the petitioner Registrar Bihar Agriculture University Sabour, District- Bhagalpur.
2. Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh Son of not known to the petitioner Vice Chancellor, Bihar Agriculture University, Sabour, - Bhagalpur.
3. Bhupendra Narayan Singh, the Administrator, Bihar Agriculture University, Sabour, District- Bhagalpur.
4. Mr. Amit Kumar Son of not known to the petitioner the Deputy Director (Administration), Bihar Agriculture University, Sabour, District- Bhagalpur.
5. Mr. Bhupendra Narayan Singh Son of not known to the petitioner the Director (Annushandhan), Bihar Agriculture University, Sabour, District- Bhagalpur.
6. Mr. R.K. Sujhana Son of not known to the petitioner the Director (Extension) Education, Bihar Agriculture University, Sabour, Police Station- Sabour, District- Bhagalpur.
7. Mr. R.K. Suhana Son of not known to the petitioner the Regional Director, A.R.I. (Agriculture Research Institute), Patna.
8. Mr. Umesh Singh Son of not known to the petitioner, the Assistant Director, Annusandhan, Bhagwanpur, Saharsa.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Swapnil Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Dr. Krishna Nandan Singh, Sr. Advocate
(For Opposite parties No. 1 & 2)	:	Mr. Sriram Krishan, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 05-09-2018

Nobody appears on behalf of the petitioner.

2. On 29.08.2018 also nobody had appeared and the Court had directed learned counsel for the Bihar Agriculture University to file affidavit showing compliance of the order dated



22.08.2017 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 11053 of 2017.

3. Today, show cause has been filed on behalf of opposite parties no. 1 to 8, bringing on record material indicating compliance of the order in the writ petition. Copy of the same has also been served on learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. In view of the aforesaid and taking note of the fact that nobody has appeared on behalf of the petitioner, the Court can only presume that the order in the writ petition has been complied with.

5. Accordingly, the application stands disposed off.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J.)

P. Kumar

AFR/NAFR	
U	

