

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.32210 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -39 Year- 2017 Thana -SAKRA District-MUZAFFARPUR

=====

Md. Meraj @ Md. Meraz Son of Abdul Wahav, resident of Hajipur
Pokhara, Police Station- Town, District- Vaishali.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar No.-1

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Akhileshwar Dayal

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

3 29-06-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

The petitioner is in custody since 23.02.2017 in connection with Sessions Trial No. 693 of 2017 arising out of Sakara P.S. Case No. 39 of 2017 for the offence registered under Sections 399, 400, 401, 402 and 414/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is no recovery from the conscious possession of the petitioner. It is further submitted that other similarly situated co-accused persons, who were arrested alongwith the petitioner, have since been extended the privilege of bail vide order dated 06.03.2018 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 8956 of 2018.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two



sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of 13th Additional Sessions Judge, Muzuffarpur in connection with Sessions Trial No. 693 of 2017 arising out of Sakara P.S. Case No. 39 of 2017, subject to the following conditions :-

(1) one of the bailors will be his father.

(2) The petitioner shall not indulge himself in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(3) The petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each and every date during trial and in the event of his failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reasons his bail bonds shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(4) The petitioner shall co-operate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Anjana Mishra, J)

Jagdish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

