

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No. 26493 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-102 Year-2017 Thana- Suiya District- Banka

=====

Amar Kumar, Son of Niranjan Kumar Singh, Resident of village- Bihayma
P.S.- Tarapur, District- Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dharendra Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shyameshwar Dayal

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2. 03-05-2018 Heard Sri Dharendra Kumar, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Sri Shyameshwar Dayal, learned Addl. Public
Prosecutor.

The sole petitioner, who is in custody since
13-11-2017 in Suiya P.S. Case No. 102 of 2017 registered for
offence under Section 394 of the Indian Penal Code, has prayed
for grant of bail, primarily on the ground that other two accused
persons, almost in similar circumstances, have been extended
the privilege of bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that four
accused persons were shown to be apprehended in a vehicle i.e.
Scorpio, bearing registration no. BR-10P-6905. He submits that
the petitioner was arrested in another case and thereafter, he was
remanded in the present case. On aforesaid ground, a prayer has
been made for granting bail to the petitioner.



Sri Shymeshwar Dayal, learned Addl. Public Prosecutor, opposing the prayer, submits that a truck was intercepted by a Scorpio, bearing registration no. BR-10P-6905 and thereafter, four accused persons committed robbery in the truck and fled away. Subsequently, the said Scorpio was intercepted by the another police station, from where, four accused persons were arrested. The informant of the present case went there and identified the accused persons including the petitioner.

There is material on record that petitioner made confession disclosing the name of other accused persons. In the case, looted articles were also recovered.

Considering the fact that it was a case of road robbery, identification of the petitioner by the informant and recovery, there is no reason to consider the prayer for bail even in a case, in which, other accused persons have been granted bail.

The prayer for bail stands dismissed.

(Rakesh Kumar, J.)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

