

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.6215 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -24 Year- 2014 Thana -SAHPUR District- BHOJPUR

=====

Chitranjan Pandey, Son of Uma Shankar Pandey, Resident of Village-Shahpur, Police Station-Shahpur, District-Bhojpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Pandey

For the Opposite Party/s : Smt. Veena Kumari Jaiswal

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN SHARMA

ORAL ORDER

2 28-02-2018 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned A.P.P. for the State as also the learned senior counsel for the Informant.

The petitioner wants to renew his prayer for bail which was earlier rejected vide orders dated 02.02.2016 and 30.08.2017 passed in Cr. Misc. Nos. 30671 of 2015 and 37817 of 2017, on the ground that the petitioner is suffering in custody since 11.07.2014, against the petitioner there is no specific allegation, during investigation it has come that Nikki Singh and the petitioner were seen fleeing away with the firearm but on the person of the deceased only one injury has been found caused by firearm and up till now only two prosecution witnesses have been examined and there is no progress in the trial and as such in the near future there is no chance of early conclusion of the trial,



further in this case Pankaj Rai and Ravindra Sinha have already been allowed bail and as such the petitioner also deserves sympathetic consideration.

The learned A.P.P. duly assisted by the learned senior counsel for the informant opposes prayer for bail of the petitioner by submitting that the petitioner caught hold the deceased and co-accused Nikki Singh shot him, two prosecution witnesses examined has supported the prosecution version.

In the facts and circumstances stated above, at present finding no good ground for reconsideration of prayer for bail, again prayer for bail of the petitioner stands rejected in connection with Sessions Trial No. 401 of 2016 arising out of Shahpur P.S. Case No. 24 of 2014 pending in the court of Additional Sessions Judge-VIth, Bhojpur at Ara.

However, the learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and to conclude the same as early as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, failing which the petitioner, if at no fault, may be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail.

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J)

Abhay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

