

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18362 of 2013**

=====

Nagesh Kumar Son Of Sri Manmohan Sharma Resident Of Village - Barat,  
Post Office - Baijnathpur Police Station - Sitamarhi, District - Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Director Of The Mid -Day Meal Scheme Committee of Bihar, Patna
3. District Magistrate of Nawada
4. Deputy Development Commissioner, Nawada
5. District Mid-Day-Meal Officer, Nawada
6. Ramakant Kumar Son of Late Srinivash Singh Resident Of Village - Tilara,  
Post Office - Tilara, Police Station - Vajirganj, District - Gaya Present  
Residing At Village - Sahwajpur, Sarai, Police Station - Sitamarhi, District  
Nawada

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent/s : Dr. Anand Kumar, AC to AAG 3

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN**

**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**Date : 17-12-2018**

As none appeared on behalf of the petitioner the matter was passed over for a few cases. However, on repeat call the petitioner was again not represented.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for the following reliefs –

(i) For issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of mandamus for commanding and directing the respondent nos. 2, 3, 4 and 5 for cancellation of the selection of the respondent no. 4 as contractor for lifting and distribution of grains for Mid- day Meal Scheme for eh Block Meskaur, District Nawada, which has been awarded to him on 24.08.2013 by lottery when he did not fulfills the terms and conditions.



(ii) For issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of mandamus for commanding and directing the respondent authorities to consider the case of the petitioner as Contractor for the said work as he fulfills all the terms and conditions.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits on the basis of tender notice that the same was issued for the financial year 2013-14 which has long since expired and that the matter has become infructuous by efflux of time and the writ petition need not be pressed.

4. The writ petition accordingly stands dismissed.

**(Vikash Jain, J)**

Chandran/-

|                          |            |
|--------------------------|------------|
| <b>AFR/NAFR</b>          | NAFR       |
| <b>CAV DATE</b>          | NA         |
| <b>Uploading Date</b>    | 19.12.2018 |
| <b>Transmission Date</b> | NA         |

