

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 1207 of 2014

=====

Hema Devi Wife Of Purandar Jha Resident Of Village - Chakefatcha, Panchayat -
Barahi Jagdish, Ward No. 2, P.S. - Anchal : - Purnahia, District - Sheohar

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur
2. Leela Devi wife of Ashok Jha Resident of Village- Chakfatcha, Ward No. 2,
Panchayat -Barahi Jagdish P.S. Purnahia, District- Sheohar.
3. The District Magistrate, Sheohar.
4. The B.D.O Purnahiya, Distt. Sheohar.
5. Mukhiya , Gram Panchayat Barahi Jagdish, P.S. Purnahia, Distt. Sheohar.
6. Panchayat Sachiv Gram Panchayat Barahi Jagdishpur, P.S. Purnahiya, Distt.
Sheohar.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : M/s S K Thakur, Alok Kr Jha, Advocates
For the Respondent/s : Mr. RAJESH KUMAR

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 31-07-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
respondent-State.

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner
that the order impugned is bad inasmuch as the marks obtained by the
petitioner obtained in the extra subjects have been excluded while
judging her candidature for the process of selection. He submits that
the system of the examination under the Bihar State Sanskrit Shiksha
Board requires the marks obtained in the extra subjects to be added.

This Court has gone through the order passed by the



Authority wherein the issue has been examined in detail. The Commissioner has examined the issue and in his order, he has given his finding that in the Guideline of selection, there is no such procedure for adding the extra marks. In such a situation, he has adopted a uniform policy and the petitioner as well as the private respondent has been adjudged on the uniform yardstick of the percentage of matriculation marks without considering the marks obtained in the extra subjects. Such uniform application and consideration cannot be objected to, merely because other mode of evaluation would have been to the advantage of the petitioner. Other than this, there is no submission made on behalf of the petitioner. This Court does not consider it appropriate to interfere with the findings recorded by the Authorities.

Writ petition is devoid of any merit and the same is dismissed.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

M.E.H./-

AFR/NAFR	AFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	07.08.2018
Transmission Date	NA

