

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.21224 of 2013

=====

M/s National Printers Through It'S Authorised Representative Namely
Subhash Chandra Son of Late Baleshwar Prasad, Having It'S Patna Office
At 'Rajan Complex', Naya Tola, Police Station - Kadamkuan, Town and
District - Patna

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through It'S Chief Secretary, Government Of Bihar,
Patna - 800015
2. The Department of Information And Public Relations, Government Of
Bihar, Patna 800015 By It's Principal Secretary
3. The Principal Secretary, Department of Information and Public Relations,
Government Of Bihar, Patna 800015
4. The Director, Department of Information and Public Relations,
Government of Bihar, Patna 800015

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : None
For the State : Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Singh, AAG-13
Mrs. Sunita Kumari, AC to AAG-13

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 06-12-2018

The present writ petition has been filed for challenging
the tender notice dated 29.09.2013 in various newspapers inviting
technical and financial tenders for painting of 'NYAYAY KEY SATH
VIKAS YATRA' year 2008 (Report Card 2013),- based on the State
Government's achievements of the eighth's year in Hindi, English
and Urdu Languages; and for connected reliefs.

2. As none appeared on behalf of the petitioner, the
matter was passed over for a few cases. However on repeat call, the
petitioner was again not represented. The petitioner had also not



appeared on repeated call on 04.12.2018. This Court is thus not inclined to adjourn the matter any further.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents appears and submits that the matter relates to an advertisement in the year 2013 and there is likelihood that the matter has become infructuous. No counter affidavit however has been filed.

4. Considering that the grievance of the petitioner relates to the tender published on 29.09.2013 and the tenderers were required to submit soft copy and hard copy prior to publication in the month of November, 2013 itself as evident from the requirements of Clause- 10 and 12 of the tender, it is evident that the tender envisaged completion of the work within a short duration.

5. In view of the considerable time having been lapsed, this Court is not inclined to enter into the merits of the matter.

6. The writ petition stands dismissed.

(Vikash Jain, J)

lbrar/BT

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	11.12.2018
Transmission Date	N.A.

