

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2861 of 2018

Meera Devi, Wife of Surendra Shahi, resident of Village Paterha, Police Station Maharajganj, District- Siwan presently Up Mukhia-cum-Ward Member, ward No. 8, Gram Panchayati Raj, Paterha, Maharajganj, District-Siwan.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The District Magistrate, Siwan.
3. The District Programme Officer, Siwan.
4. The Block Development Officer, Maharajganj Block, Police Station Maharajganj, District- Siwan.
5. The Block Education Extension Officer, Maharajganj Block, Police Station Maharajganj District- Siwan.
6. The Secretary, Gram Panchayati Raj, Paterha, Maharajganj Block, Police Station- Maharajganj, District- Siwan.
7. The Mukhia, Gram Panhayati Raj, Paterha, Maharajganj Block, Police Station Maharajganj, District- Siwan.
8. The Headmaster Prathmik Vidyalay, Paterha, Block Maharajganj, Police Station Maharajganj, District- Siwan.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravindra Nath Dubey
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ashutosh Ranjan Pandey -AAG-15

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 02-08-2018 Following is the relief sought in the present application:-

“That this writ application is being filed for issuance of a writ/writs, order/orders, direction/directions to the Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to enquire the matter and taking action against the illegalities and irregularities committed by the Respondent Nos. 5, 6,7 and 8 and further direct the Respondent No.4 not to give any sanction illegally for the school



for any other scheme for Ward No.9 and further direct the Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to examine the illegalities committed by the Respondent Nos. 6, 7 and 8 during the allotment of the fund and illegally done the work and several funds without any work have been diverted without any proper sanction by the competent authority and further take action in this matter and lodge the First Information Report against the Respondent Nos. 6,7 and 8 and further for any other relief/reliefs to which the petitioner is found entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

I am not inclined to entertain the prayer of the nature as made in the writ application in the present proceeding under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

The petitioner shall have, however, liberty to pursue his remedy before the competent authority, in accordance with law.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

arun/-

U			
---	--	--	--

