

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1040 of 2018

=====

Avinash Kumar, Son of Late Vinay Kumar, Resident of Ashok Nagar, Road No.1D, P.O.- Lohiyanagar, P.S.- Kankarbagh, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director Primary Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Patna.
4. The District Education Officer, Patna.
5. The District Programme Officer, Establishment, Patna.
6. The Urban Secretary cum Authorised Officer, Patna Municipal Corporation, Patna.
7. Patna Municipal Corporation through Municipal Commission, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Madhurendra Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Pramod Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Amit Bhushan- Gp17

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

3 16-03-2018 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the law laid down by the Apex Court in the case of **Mukesh &Anr.Vs. The State Of Bihar, Reported In (2017)5 Scc 387**, the respondents are required to consider the case of the petitioner and take appropriate decision within a maximum period of sixty days from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

The aforesaid decision of the Apex Court is binding on all concerned and the respondents have to consider the case of the petitioner for appointment on the post of Panchayat Shikshak, referring to the cut off date 1.7.2006 as held out in the



case of Mukesh (Supra).

The said decision must be taken by the respondents in this regard within the time frame as indicted above.

With the aforesaid, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

