

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10014 of 2017

- =====
1. Md. Gulam Mustafa, son of Late Md. Naziruddin
 2. Manoj Kumar Sharma, son of Late Vishwanath Sharma
- Both are the residents of village - Dhanpura, P.O.- Kanhaiyabadi, P.S. - Kochadhaman, District - Kishanganj.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Vikas Bhawan, Patna.
3. The District Teachers Employment Appellate Authority, Kishanganj, District - Kishanganj.
4. The District Education Officer, Kishanganj, District - Kishanganj.
5. The District Programme Officer (Establishment) Kishanganj, District - Kishanganj.
6. The Executive Officer-cum-Member Secretary, Block Employment Committee-cum-Block Development Officer, Block - Kochadhaman, District - Kishanganj.
7. The Block Education Officer, Kochadhaman, Block - Kochadhaman, District - Kishanganj.
8. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj Teghariya, Block - Kochadhaman, District - Kishanganj.
9. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj Teghariya, Block - Kochadhaman, District - Kishanganj.
10. Sushil Sah, son of Visheshwar Lal Sah, resident of Village - Kasba Gangi, P.O. - Gangi Hat, Block and P.S. - Kochadhaman, District - Kishanganj.
11. Md. Shah Faiz, son of late Hamidur Rahman, resident of Village & P.O. - Kashibari Hat, Block and P.S. - Kochadhaman, District - Kishanganj.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nityanand Mishra, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Prabhakar Jha, GP-27

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 23-04-2018

In view of the fact that now the State Appellate Authority is now functional, the petitioners are granted liberty to approach the State Appellate Authority.

In case, such appeal is filed by the petitioners within a period of one month from today along with a copy of this order, the



State Appellate Authority is required to decide the appeal in accordance with law on its own merit after condoning the delay, if any, in filing the appeal in view of the fact that the writ application was filed against the decision of the District Teachers Appellate Authority on account of the fact that the State Appellate Authority is not functional and the writ application remained pending before this Court.

With the aforesaid, the writ application is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Uday/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	25.04.2018
Transmission Date	

