

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.39338 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-183 Year-2013 Thana- KARJA District- Muzaffarpur

=====

Sujeet Kumar Thakur, Son of Devendra Thakur, Resident of Village- Amaitha
Panapur, P.S.- Karja, District- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shishir Kumar, Advocate
	:	Ms. Archana Palkar Khopde, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Smt Pushpa Sinha, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

6 22-11-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

The petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in connection with Karja P.S. Case No. 183 of 2013 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 304-B/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Petitioner is husband of deceased and informant is the mother of deceased. Allegation against petitioner and his family is that her daughter was killed for non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is innocent and marriage was solemnized in the year 2005 and from said wedlock two children were also born.



Deceased committed suicide after consuming poison. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is in custody since 26.03.2017.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Muzaffarpur, in connection with Karja P.S. Case No. 183 of 2013 subject to the conditions that:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and sufficient reason the trial court will be at liberty to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

