

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.829 of 2018

=====

Sunita Sagar, wife of Alankar Amritraj Yadav, resident of M.I.G.- 102, Mohalla-Hanuman Nagar, P.S.- Patrakar Nagar, District- Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Department of Higher Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Chairman, Bihar Public Service Commission, Bailey Road, Patna.
4. The Deputy Secretary, Bihar Public Service Commission, Bailey Road, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shaishav Kumar
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar, AC to GP-23
For the BPSC : Mr. Sanjay Pandey, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 09-03-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, State and
learned counsel appearing on behalf of Commission.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has confined his argument to the extent that the representation of the petitioner, as contained in Annexure-10, is pending before the respondent Principal Secretary, Higher Education, Government of Bihar (respondent no.1). He submits that the writ application may be disposed of with a direction to respondent no.1 to dispose of the representation one way or the other expeditiously, so that the petitioner may decide the future course of action.

In view of the above, the writ application is disposed of with a direction to Principal Secretary, Higher Education,



Government of Bihar (respondent no.1) to dispose of the representation of the petitioner, as contained in Annexure-10, if not already disposed of, by a reasoned and speaking order within a period of one month from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Uday/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	14.03.2018
Transmission Date	

