

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No.2932 of 2018**

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -79 Year- 2017 Thana -KINJAR District- JEHANABAD

- =====
1. Ramesh Paswan, S/o Late Rambalik Paswan,
  2. Kusum Devi, W/o Ramesh Paswan, both resident of village- Azad Nagar Jhikatiya Bari, P.S.- Kinjar, Distt.- Arwal.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... .... Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kulanand Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Dr. Rabindra Kumar, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2/ 17-02-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned

APP for the State.

Petitioners apprehend their arrest in **Kinjar P.S.**

**Case No.79 of 2017** instituted for the offence under Section(s)  
341, 323, 504, 506, 304-B/34 Indian Penal Code.

Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that  
petitioners are father-in-law and mother-in-law of the deceased.  
They have no concern with family affairs of deceased and her  
husband.

From the written report, it appears that there is  
general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of  
the petitioners for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the  
event of surrender/arrest of the petitioners, named above, within



six weeks from today in connection with **Kinjar P.S. Case No.79 of 2017**, they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the **Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Arwal**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C. with further conditions: (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and their absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel their bail bonds and (3) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

**(Sanjay Priya, J)**

JA/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

