

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.25590 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -113 Year- 2017 Thana -DINARA District- SASARAM (ROHTAS)

=====

1. Kashi Rai, Son of Late Rajendra Rai, R/o Makhdumpur Khagaul, P.S.-
Danapur, District- Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ghanshyam Tiwary

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Navin Kumar Pandey

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 18-06-2018 The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Dinara P.S. Case No. 113/2017, registered for offences punishable under Sections 366(A)/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 8 of the POCSO Act.

Allegation against the petitioner that he helped the co-accused of this case, who happens to be his son in kidnapping the minor daughter of the informant.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the girl has been recovered and she has stated that she fell in love with the co-accused Mantu Rai, who is the son of petitioner and she left her parental house out of her own sweet will and considering the same, a coordinate Bench of this Court has granted the privilege of anticipatory to the said Mantu Rai in Cr. Misc. No. 31287 of 2018



vide order dated 12.06.2018.

Heard learned A.P.P. also.

Having heard both sides, in view of the above facts, let the petitioner above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bond of Rs. 25,000 (Rs. Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge – I, Rohtas at Sasaram, in connection with Dinara P.S. Case No. 113 of 2017, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C, it is further subject to the condition that:-

- (i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court.
- (ii) The petitioner shall cooperate in the investigation and make himself available as and when required by the police and on the event of failure on his part to appear before the police on two consecutive dates without showing any genuine reasons, the



prosecution is free to move for cancellation
of his bail bonds.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

sunil/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

