

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18753 of 2017

=====

1. Satish Kumar, Son of Late Govind Singh, Resident of Village- Karmathu, Police Station- Main, District- Gaya.
2. Nityadev Prasad, Son of Sri Sahja Prasad, Resident of Village- Amarsingh Bigha, PS.- Main, District- Gaya.
3. Sahendra Kumar Singh, Son of Shri Nathun Singh, Resident of Village- Agni, P.S.- Belaganj, District- Gaya.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Cooperative Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Joint Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Division.
4. The Chairman Paibigha PACCS, Belganj Block, District- Gaya.
5. The Primary Agriculture Credit Co-operative Society Limited (SESAMBA PACCS), Belganj Block, District- Gaya.
6. The Election Officer, Co-operative Societies-cum-Block Development Officer, Block, District- Gaya.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar, Adv

For the Respondent/s : Mr. AMIT PRAKASH -GA13

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 05-04-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the respondents.

2. This matter has been taken up out of turn on the
request of learned counsel for the petitioners for payment of
outstanding dues.

3. The present writ petition has been filed for a
direction to the concerned respondent authorities to make payment of



outstanding dues along with admissible interest thereon.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that despite having completed the contract works and raising bills for the same, payment has not yet been made to the petitioners.

5. Having regard to the nature of the grievances of the petitioners, this writ petition is disposed of granting liberty to the petitioners to approach the concerned respondents with a fresh representation for redressal of their grievances. If any such representation is filed within a period of four weeks from today the concerned respondents shall consider and dispose of the same in accordance with law.

6. It is made clear that this Court is not expressing any opinion on the merits of the claim of the petitioner.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran/BT

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	26.04.2018
Transmission Date	NA

