

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.655 of 2018

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 20618 of 2010

- =====
1. Shatrudhan Choudhary, Son of late Raghuvir Choudhary, Resident of Village- Kalwari Chandravan, P.O. Kalwari Chandravan, P.S. Kanti , District- Muzaffarpur.
 2. Tribhuwan Bhakt, Son of late Raghuhi Bhakt, Resident of Village- Jalil Nagar, P.O. Lalu Chapra Via - Saria Kothi, P.S. Paru, District- Muzaffarpur.
 3. Abdul Jabbar, Son of late Akbar Ali, Resident of Village- Paru, P.S. Paru, District- Muzaffarpur.
 4. Yogendra Prasad, Son of Late Balchan Mahto, Resident of Village- Doma Pokhar, Hanuman Mandir Road, P.O . & P.S. Town Muzaffarpur, District- Muzaffarpur.
 5. Habibun Nisha, Wife of late Jamil Ahmad, Resident of Village-Repura, P.O. Vishudutpur, Via- Batahi, P.S.Kanti, District- Muzaffarpur.
 6. Radha Devi, W/o late Sudisth Narayan Thakur, resident of Village- Mahanth Maniari, P.O. Mahanth Maniari, P.S. Kanti, District- Muzaffarpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Sri Amir Subhani, presently posted as Secretary, Registration Excise and Prohibition Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. Sri Adhya Kumar Das, presently posted as Inspector General of Registration, Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri Ayaz Ahmad Khan, presently posted as Assistant Inspector General of Registration, Bihar, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shashi Bhushan Singh, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Sinha, G.A. 1

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN

ORAL ORDER

5 12-09-2018 Heard the parties.

Mr. Anil Kumar Sinha, learned G.A. 1 while filing a supplementary show cause on behalf of the Assistant Inspector General of Registration informs that the order passed by this Court on the writ petition has been complied and the petitioners have



been granted third Assured Career Progression under the relevant rules. He makes reference to the office order dated 10.09.2018 at Annexure-A to the supplementary show cause filed today.

Mr. Shashi Bhushan Singh, learned counsel for the petitioners while accepting that the progression has been granted to the petitioners disputes the date from which the respective progression has been granted. According to him, the date of initial appointment has not been considered for the progression and which denies the petitioners benefit of further progressions. May be the petitioners have their reasons to raise objection on the date of grant of progression by the order so passed but then they would have to represent the concerned authorities bringing to his notice the infirmities in the order and it goes without saying that any such representation so filed, shall be considered by the authority concerned for its disposal in accordance with law within 6 weeks of its filing.

With the observations aforementioned, the contempt proceeding is dropped. The contempt application is disposed of.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

Bibhash/Ranveer

U			
---	--	--	--

