

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1505 of 2018

=====

Smt. Reshma Devi @ Reshmi Devi, W/o Sri Shyamnandan Singh, Resident
of Village- Majhuawan, P.S.- Ara Town, Distt- Bhojpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through D. G. P. Bihar, Patna.
2. Senior Superintendent of Police, Bhojpur.
3. Superintendent of Police, Bhojpur.
4. Officer-in-Charge, Ara Town Police Station.
5. Investigating Officer, ASI, namely Budhan Kumar Singh of Arrah town
Police Station.
6. Ram Ranvijay Singh, Son of Late Budhan Singh,
7. Harendra Singh, S/o Ram Ranvijay Singh,
8. Sarabjit Singh,
9. Indrajeet Singh, Both sons of Late Bihari Singh,
10. Subham Kumar Singh, Son of Shailendra Singh,
11. Ujjwal Singh, Son of Harendra Singh,
12. Munna Singh, Son of Birendra Singh, All resident of Village-
Majhauwan, P.S.- Ara Town, Distt- Bhojpur.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sumit Kumar Jha, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. P.K. Verma (AAG-3)

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN
PRASAD**

ORAL ORDER

4 18-08-2018 Learned counsel for the State has got

instruction, it has been brought to the notice of this Court that the

police authorities have not put any seal on the house of the

petitioner and as such, the police authorities cannot be blamed for

the inter-se dispute between the petitioner and the private

respondents. It is submitted that in the case lodged by the

petitioner, police has already completed investigation and a

charge-sheet has been filed against the accused persons.



The submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner is that on a bare perusal of the report submitted by the Sub-Inspector of Police, Arrah Town P.S. as contained in Annexure-7 which is part of the reply received by the petitioner in response to her application under Right to Information Act, it would appear that the fact that the private respondents are opposing the petitioner and they are not behaving well with her, have been found true.

This Court has perused the records and finds that prima facie it is a case of conflict of interest between the petitioner and private respondents. Police Authorities have already informed this Court through their Lawyer that they have not put any seal and have not locked the door of the house of the petitioner, therefore, this Court sitting in its writ jurisdiction has now no role to play, if there is inter-se dispute between the parties, they can resolve the same through the process of law which is available in the matter of private contest between the two parties.

The prayer for directing the private respondents to allow protection to the petitioner cannot be considered by this Court because nothing has been brought to the notice of this Court showing that the petitioner has ever made any such request before the competent authorities in terms of the scheme framed by the



State Government for consideration of such request.

This writ application stands disposed off,
accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Arvind/R.R.Ojha/Ved

U		T	
---	--	---	--

