

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18805 of 2017

=====

Ramashray Ram, the Secretary, Huraka Stone Cutter Industrial Samittee Limited
S/o Late Mukhadeo Ram Resident of Village - Maheshdih, Post - Huraka, P.S. -
Tilauthu, District - Rohtas, Sasaram Petitioner

Versus

1. The Union of India through Secretary Mining Department, Govt. of India, New Delhi.
 2. That State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Mining Department, Bihar, Patna.
 3. The Director, National Planning Programme, Sasaram, Rohtas.
 4. The Commissioner, Patna Division cum the First Appellate Authority, Patna.
 5. The Forest Divisional Officer, Sahabad, Forest Commissioner, Sasaram, Rohtas.
 6. The District Magistrate, Rohtas.
 7. The Additional District Magistrate, Sasaram, Rohtas.
 8. The Chief Forest Conservator cum Nodal Officer (Forest Conservation), Sasaram, Rohtas.
 9. The District Public Grievance Prevention Officer, Sasaram, Rohtas.
 10. The District Mining Officer, Gaulakshani, Sasaram, Rohtas.
 11. The Circle Officer, Tilauthu, Sasaram, Rohtas. Respondents
- =====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	: Mr. Krishna Deo Raj, Advocate
For the State	: Mr. Manish Kumar, AC to AAG 6
For the Mines Department	: Mr. Naresh Dikshit, Spl. PP Mines
For the UOI	: Mr R.A. Singh, CGC

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 16-07-2018

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has sought quashing of order dated 13.5.2017, passed by the Commissioner, Patna Division, Patna, who is the Appellate authority, in Public Grievance No. 4311800203177761/IA.

Petitioner's grievance has been rejected by the Appellate authority recording the reason that he unnecessarily files application one after another for the same cause which has already



been rejected.

Crux of issues raised by the petitioner is that he had applied for grant of mining lease for which process had been undertaken in 1992-94 and decision for allotting a lease for mining had already been taken in his favour.

More than 20 years thereafter he has filed an appeal before the Appellate authority for deciding general public grievances raising a grievance which has been disposed of by the Appellate authority under order dated 13.5.2017. No grounds have been made out for assailing appellate order dated 13.5.2017. This Court does not find any reason to interfere with the order of the Appellate authority. Otherwise also grievance which has been raised is in relation to a very stale issue arising out of same process undertaken by the respondents on the petitioner's application filed for mining lease way back in the year 1992.

In view of the aforesaid circumstances, the writ petition is devoid of merit and the same is dismissed.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

Shashi.

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	18.7.2018
Transmission Date	NA

