

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Review No.124 of 2018

In
Letters Patent Appeal No.742 of 2016

Abdul Waris, son of Md. Abdul Samad Akhter, Resident of Mohalla- Gaorah Garh, P.S.- Biharsharif, District- Nalanda.

... .. Appellant/Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, New Secretariat, Government of Bihar, Patna, Bailey Road.
2. The Vice Chancellor, Patna University, Patna at Ashok Raj Path, P.O.- Mahendru, Patna-6.
3. The Pro-Vice Chancellor, Patna University, District- Patna, Ashok Raj Path, P.O.- Mahendru, Patna-6.
4. The Head of the Department/Dean (Academic) Patna University, Patna, Ashok Rajpath, P.O. Mahendru Ghat, Patna-6.
5. The Dean Faculty of Engineering College, Patna University, at present National Institute of Technology, Patna, through the Head of the Department, Ashok Raj Path, P.O. Mahendru Patna- 6.
6. The Controller of Examination, Patna University, Patna, Ashok Raj Path, P.O. Mahendru Patna- 6.
7. The Deputy Registrar (Examination) Patna University, Patna, Ashok Raj Path, P.O. Mahendru Patna- 6.
8. The Director, National Institute of Technology (NIT), Ashok Raj Path, Mahendru, Patna- 800006.

... .. Respondents/Opposite Parties.

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : -
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Amrendra Kumar, AC to AAG-15

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

- 4 06-10-2018 1. The present application for review/recall of the order passed by this Court passed in Letters Patent Appeal No. 742 of 2016 was called out in the first session. Nobody remained present on behalf of the applicant. Therefore, it was posted in the second session. In the second session also, learned advocate appearing on behalf of the applicant is absent.



However, one Mr. P.N. Mishra, learned advocate, has requested to adjourn the matter as the learned advocate appearing on behalf of the applicant is not in the Court. He is not in a position to point out the reason for the advocate not remaining present.

2. At this stage, it is required to be noted that even while disposing of the main Letters Patent Appeal, the Division Bench in para 1 observed as under:

“A request was made for adjournment in the morning, but it was rejected on objection raised by the respondents representing the State of Bihar, the Patna University and the National Institute of Technology, Bihar. It has been pointed out that the appellant is dragging the matter without any reason.”

3. As there is no valid reason shown for adjournment, the present application stands dismissed for non prosecution.

(Mukesh R. Shah, CJ)

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Sunil/-s.shukla

U			
---	--	--	--

