

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11367 of 2013

Manorma Kumari Wife Of Shri Sunil Kumar Singh Resident Of Village -
Bhadar, P.S. Sikraul, Block - Nawanagar, Distt. - Buxar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Secretary - Cum - Commissioner, Human Resources Development Deptt. Patna
2. The Secretary, Panchayat Raj Department, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
3. The District Collector, Buxar
4. The District Education Officer, Buxar
5. The Block Education Officer, Nawanagar, Buxar
6. The Block Development Officer, Nawanagar, Buxar
7. The Sukh Subidha Samiti, Presided Over By Mukhia Gram Panchayat, Bhadar, Block Nawanagar, Distt. Buxar
8. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Bhadar, Nawanagar Distt. - Buxar
9. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Bhadar, Nawanagar, Distt. - Buxar
10. The Headmaster, Prathmik Vidyalaya, Bhadar, Block Nawanagar, Distt. - Buxar
11. The Block Education Extension Officer, Nawanagar, Distt. Buxar
12. The District Programme Officer, Buxar

... .. Respondent/s

with

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 11306 of 2013

Sunil Kumar Singh Son Of Shri Ramnath Singh, Resident Of Village- Bhadar,
P.S. Sikraul, Block- Nawanagar, District- Buxar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Secretary - Cum - Commissioner, Human Resources Development Deptt, Patna.
2. The Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Collector, Buxar.
4. The District Education Officer, Buxar
5. The Block Education Officer, Nawanagar, Buxar
6. The Block Development Officer, Nawanagar, Buxar.
7. The Sukh Subidha Samitti, Presided Over By Mukhia Gram Panchayat, Bhadar, Block- Nawanagar, Distt- Buxar.
8. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat, Bhadar, Nawanagar, District- Buxar.
9. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Bhadar, Nawanagar, Distt- Buxar.
10. The Headmaster, Prathmik Vidylaya, Bhadar, Block- Nawanagar, Dist- Buxar.
11. The Block Education Extension Officer, Nawanagar, Distt- Buxar.
12. The District Programme Officer, Buxar.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Nil Kamal, Advocate Ms. Kumari Priyanka, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. S.Rahman, AC to GP 24



CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 05-11-2018

After hearing the parties and noticing the controversy involved, the Court is of the considered view that factual enquiry can better be done by the Appellate Authority.

In view of the availability of forum of State Appellate Authority, it would be appropriate that the petitioners may approach the State Appellate Authority so that the dispute involved in the writ petitions is appropriately decided by it.

Both the writ petitions are disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to file appeal before the State Appellate Authority. If the said appeal is filed, the State Appellate Authority shall condone the delay and decide the appeal on merit considering the fact that the present writ petitions have remained pending before this Court for the last five years.

With the aforesaid, the both the writ petitions stand disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

spandey/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	06.11.2018
Transmission Date	

