

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 3805 of 2018

=====

Shri Nageshwar Prasad Mandal S/o Late Ramkrishna Mandal R/o Ward No. - 4,
Mukadam Tola, Pitaunjihia, P.O. - Pasraha, P.S. - Gogri (Pitaunjihia), District -
Khagaria (Bihar).

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur through the Vice Chancellor.
2. The Vice Chancellor, Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur.
3. The Registrar, Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur.
4. The Finance Officer, Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur.
5. The Principal, K.D.S. College, Gogri (A Constituent Unit of Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur).

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 29-08-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and T. M.

Bhagalpur University (hereinafter referred to as the 'University').

2. The petitioner has moved the Court for the following
reliefs:

"I. For issuance of an appropriate writ directing the Respondent University to re-fix the pension and gratuity amount under the benefits of financial upgradation of ACP/MACP Scheme from May, 2015 onward and difference amount be paid accordingly after redesignated as "Demonstrator" Department of Zoology) w.e.f. 21.10.1981 with all consequential reliefs.

II. For issuance of an appropriate writ commanding the Respondent University for payment of



difference of Leave Encashment amounts of 300 days on the basis of financial upgradation under Assured Career Progressive ACP and MACP Scheme).

III. For issuance of an appropriate writ for payment of difference of pay and dearness allowances dues from March, 1982 to April, 2015 total amounting Rs. 1366860/- (Rupees Thirteen Lakhs Sixty Six thousand eight hundred sixty only) under the financial upgradation scheme of ACP/MACP benefits.

IV. For grant of any other relief/reliefs to which the petitioner is entitled under law as well as facts and circumstances of the case for ends of justice.”

3. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that all his admitted dues have been paid.

4. In view thereof, the writ petition stands disposed off.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J.)

Anand Kr.

AFR/NAFR	
U	

