

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1191 of 2016

=====

Md. Shakil Alam Son of Md. Safique Ansari, Resident of Village Goresgaonwa, P.S. Shahpur, District- Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Secretary, Department of Home (Police), Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Inspector General of Police Zonal Office, Patna.
4. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Patna.
5. The Superintendent of Police Patna.
6. The District Magistrate, Patna.
7. The Dy. S.P Danapur, Patna.
8. The S.H.O Shahpur Police Station- Patna.
9. Md. Shahin Parwaiz Ansari Son of late Md. Jamaluddin Bhusaula Danapur, P.S. Phulwari Sharif, District - Patna.
10. Md. Usman, Son of not known, resident of Isupur, P.S.- Phulwari Sharif, District- Patna.
11. Md. Shahid Raja, Son of not know, resident of Mohalla- Saguna More, P.S.- Rupashpur, District- Patna.
12. Humaira Khatton, Wife of late Jamaluddin, resident of Bhusaula Danapur, P.S.- Phulwarisharif, District- Patna.
13. Dolly, Daughter of Jamaluddin, resident of Bhusaula Danapur, P.S.- Phulwarisharif, District- Patna.
14. Shabih Parween, Daughter of late Jamaluddin, Wife of Md. Nasim Alam, Goregawah, P.S. Shahpur, Patna at present resident of Bhusaula Danapur, P.S.- Phulwari Sharif, District- Patna.
15. Shahnwaz Alam Son of Jamaluddin, resident of Bhushaula Danapur, P.S.- Phulwari Sharif, District- Patna.
16. Pintu, Son of Kallu, resident of Bhushaula Danapur, P.S.- Phulwari Sharif, District- Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shakil Ahmad Khan
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Partha Sarthi, GA-4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 31-01-2018 Learned counsel for the State categorically submits that the prayer in this writ application is for a direction to the respondents to lodge an FIR against the respondent nos.9 to 16,



but the fact is that both parties have already lodged the FIR which have been brought on record vide Annexure-B and C to the counter affidavit.

In the aforesaid view of the matter, nothing remains for adjudication in this writ application.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, therefore, does not press this application as it has become infructuous.

The application is disposed of as infructuous.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Arvind/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

