

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14692 of 2017

=====

1. Lalan Kumar Son of late Narayan Sharma Resident of Village +P.O. - Parihara, Ward No. 14, P.S. - Bakhari , District- Begusarai, at Present Posted as L.D.C. (Correspondence Clerk), Western Koshi Canal Division, Andhrathadhi, District- Madhubani.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Secretary of the Board of Revenue, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Principal Secretary, Personnal and Administrative Reforms Department, Bihar, Patna.
5. The Chief Engineer Irrigation Srajan, Water Resources Department, Darbhanga.
6. The Superintending Engineer, Water Resources Department, Andharathari, Jhanjharpur, Madhubani.
7. The Executive Engineer, Water Resources Department, Andhrathari, Madhubani.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhananjay Kumar Gupta

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Anjani Kumar-Aag6

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 05-02-2018 At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to correct the mistake in the memo of parties.

The learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to make the corrections during the course of the day.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case, he is permitted to file a representation with regard to his grievances and the concerned



authority is directed to dispose of the same.

In view of the aforesaid limited prayer, I deem it fit and appropriate to direct the petitioner to file a representation within two weeks from today before the respondent no. 5 and in case, such representation is filed, the respondent no. 5 shall dispose of the same considering the relevant rules on the subject matter.

At this juncture, the learned counsel for the respondents submits that the case of the petitioner has already been rejected. In such view of the matter, it is made clear that in case, the case of the petitioner has neither been rejected nor the same has been considered in the light of the relevant rules, the respondent no. 5 shall consider the grievances of the petitioner herein and dispose of the same.

The writ petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

rinkee/-

U			
---	--	--	--

