

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15268 of 2017

=====

1. Baidh Nath Ray, Son of Late Babu Lal Ray, resident of Village- Mahua Singh Ray, Ward No. 3, P.S.- Mahua, District- Vaishali at present posted an Assistant Teacher of Sharda Sanskrit Primary cum Middle School, Mahnar, Vaishali.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Special Director, Secondary Education (Sanskrit), Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board through its Secretary, Patna.
4. The Chairman, Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Patna.
5. The Secretary, Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Patna.
6. The District Education Officer, Vaishali.
7. The Head Master, Sharda Sanskrit Primary-cum-Middle School, Mahnar, Vaishali.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bam Bahadur Jha

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Kameshwar Kumar -Gp17

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR
UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

2 22-02-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

Mr. Satyam Shivam Sundaram, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Sanskrit Shikchha Board draws attention of the court towards Annexure-6. He submits that necessary decision has to be taken by the District Education Officer, Vaishali (respondent No. 6) with the assistance of Headmaster, Sharda Sanskrit Primary-cum- Middle School, Mahnar, Vaishali (respondent No. 7).



In view of the aforesaid, this writ petition stands disposed of with direction to the respondent No. 6 who shall convene a meeting with respondent No. 7 and respondent No. 7 is obliged to produce relevant record to facilitate respondent No. 6 in taking final decision with regard to payment of salary of the petitioner.

The respondent No. 6 is required to take final decision in this regard within a maximum period of 60 days from the date of receipt/production of copy of the order. The respondent No. 7 is also required to assist the respondent No. 6 in the matter for final decision, failing which, respondent No. 6 will be at liberty to take coercive action against respondent No. 7.

With the aforesaid observation, this writ petition is disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

A.K.V./-

U			
---	--	--	--

