

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1198 of 2016

=====

Dr. Amar Nath Singh, Advocate, aged about 67 years, Son of Late Lakshmi Narayan Singh, resident of Flat No.- 104-05, Lakshmi Uma Plaza, Lohanipur East, P.S. Kadamkuan, Tower & District- Patna- 800003.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through D. G. P. Bihar, Patna.
2. Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna.
3. City S.P., Patna.
4. Addl. S.P., Patna (Law & Order)
5. D.S.P, Patna Sadar, Patna.
6. D.S.P., Patna (Law & Order)
7. Officer-in-Charge, Kadamkuan P.S., Patna.
8. Shri Anand Kumar Sisodiya, A.S.I., Kadamkuan, Patna.
9. Neeraj Kumar Singh, Son of Kunwar Singh, Resident of Flat No.- A-203, Lakshmi Uma Plaza, Lohanipur East, P.S.- Kadamkuan, Town & District- Patna- 800003.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Lalan Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Gp5-Nadeem Seraj

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

4. 30-08-2018 The petitioner has moved this court seeking following reliefs: -

“(i) To issue an order/orders, direction/directions or writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent no. 1 to 8 to remove the four wheeler vehicle bearing Registration No. BR1Y-6842 by touching the grill gate of the petitioner lawyer’s chamber situated on the ground floor of Block-A of Lakshmi Uma Plaza, Lohanipur East, P.S. – Kadamkuan, Town & District - Patna since 13.11.2016 obstructing the petitioner ingress and egress in his chamber.

(ii) To direct the respondent no. 7 to unlock the room of the petitioner which was installed by the respondent no. 9 after breaking the lock of the petitioner on 13.11.2016.



- (iii) To take action against the respondent no.8, who is the investigating officer in the case by changing the respondent no. 8, who is in connivance with the respondent no. 9.
- (iv) To any other relief or reliefs for which the petitioner is entitled.”

In the nature of the controversies which have been brought to the notice of this court particularly appearing from the counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondent no. 2 to 7, this court does not find any reason to entertain the present writ application. The dispute seems to be *inter se* between the petitioner and the private respondent no. 9 who are co-sharers and the enquiries made by the police authorities on the complaint made by the petitioner has revealed that the nature of dispute between the parties are in the nature of a civil dispute. This court cannot go into such allegation in the present writ application.

This writ application is dismissed.

The petitioner, if so advised, may seek his remedy in an appropriate proceeding and in an appropriate jurisdiction in accordance with law.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Rajeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

