

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**

**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13525 of 2017**

- =====
1. Ashok Kumar Singh, son of Late Kawal Singh, resident of Village- Belari, Police Station- Sonhan, District- Kaimur.
  2. Raju Kumar Maurya, son of Gulab Chandra Singh, resident of Village- Amari, Post Officer, Saitha, Police Station- Sonhan, District- Kaimur.
  3. Raman Kumari, wife of Kunwar Singh, resident of Village+ Post Office- Mokari, Police Station- Bhabhua, District- Kaimur.
  4. Nisha Kumari, daughter of Awadhesh Singh, resident of Narayanpur, Police Station- Sandesh, District- Bhojpur.
  5. Jyoti Kumari Neelam, daughter of Balkeshwar Ram, resident of Village- Chgadoria, Police Station- Bhabhua, District- Kaimur.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Primary Education, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Kaimur.
5. The District Education Officer, Kaimur.
6. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Kaimur.
7. The Block Education Officer, Bhagini Block- Mohania Kaimur.
8. The Block Development Officer cum Secretary, Bhagini Block- Mohania, Kaimur.
9. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Unit Bhagini Block- Mohania, District- Kaimur.
10. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Unit Bhagini Block- Mohania, District- Kaimur.
11. The District Teacher Appointment Appellate Authority through its Secretary, Kaimur.

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar, AC to GP-23

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY**

**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**Date: 22-03-2018**

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and State.

The statements made in para 6 and 7 of the counter affidavit, which reads as follows:

“6. That deponent who has recently joined as



District Programme Officer (Establishment) Kaimur, has also decided to pay the salaries of respective teachers in terms with earlier direction of District Magistrate as all the petitioners are rendering services in pursuant to direction of the Tribunal, still orders are in force and are being not unsettled by any court of law.

7. That in view of the matter all these petitioners will be paid within a period of two months after competing all necessary formalities.”

In view of the statements made in para 5 to 7, the writ application is disposed of with a direction to the District Programme Officer (Establishment) Kaimur (respondent nos.6) to honour the undertaking made in para 6 and 7 of the counter affidavit and ensure payment within the time indicated in the counter affidavit.

**(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)**

Uday/-

|                   |            |
|-------------------|------------|
| AFR/NAFR          | NAFR       |
| CAV DATE          | NA         |
| Uploading Date    | 31.03.2018 |
| Transmission Date |            |

