

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Review No.362 of 2017

Arising out of

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No. 966 of 2017

=====

Rakesh Kumar, son of Sri Awadh Kant Bhagat, resident of Village - Gathulli, P.O. - Samaila Lal Ganj, P.S. - Keoti, District - Darbhanga.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
 2. Dr. Chandra Shekhar Singh, the District Magistrate, Darbhanga.
 3. Mr. Satyaveer Singh, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Darbhanga.
 4. Mr. Satyaveer Singh, the In-charge Superintendent of Police, Darbhanga.
 5. Dr. Gajendra Kumar Singh, the Sub-Divisional Officer, Sadar Darbhanga.
 6. Mr. Dilnawaz, the Sub-Divisional Police officer, Sadar, Darbhanga.
 7. Mr. Sitaram Prasad, the Officer-in-Charge Keoti Police Station, Darbhanga.
 8. Mr. Santosh Kumar Suman, the Circle Officer, Keoti Circle, Darbhanga.
 9. Dineshwar Yadav @ Dinesh Yadav, Son of Late Jagdish Yadav.
 10. Mohan Mandal, Son of Late Bhola Mandal.
 11. Bhogi Sahani, Son of Late Mahadeo Sahani.
 12. Rajendra Sahani, Son of late Ram Prasad Sahani,
- Respondent Nos. 9 to 12 are resident of Village- Dahipura, P.O.- Samaila Lal Ganj, P.S.- Keoti, Darbhanga.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Siya Ram Shahi, Advocate
Mr. Priyank Samdarshi, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Asif Kalim, AC to AAG-12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 01-08-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

2. Though, the Court has been assisted by learned counsel for the petitioner for quite some time, in view of the developments which have taken place in the matter, the Court would only observe that the petitioner may approach the appropriate forum, in accordance with law, for such cause of action as the forum would



be having complete jurisdiction to take notice of all such developments and do proper justice in the matter, which in the present jurisdiction of the Court is extremely narrow and limited.

3. In view thereof, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that liberty be given to him and the disposal of the present proceeding may not come in the way of the petitioner seeking his remedy before the appropriate forum.

4. Having considered the matter, the application stands disposed off with liberty to the petitioner to move before the appropriate forum in a duly constituted proceeding with regard to his grievances based on past facts as well as subsequent developments. The Court would only observe that the fact that the present application has been disposed off shall not prejudice the rights and contentions of the petitioner before the forum which he shall invoke.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J)

P. Kumar

AFR/NAFR	
U	

