

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 18129 of 2016

=====

Sheela Rani Das W/o Murli Manohar Lal Das, R/o Chitragupt Nagar, ward No. 20,
Madhubani Town, P.S. Madhubani, District Madhubani.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Secretary, Department of Human Resource Development, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Secondary Education, Department of Human Resource Development, Government of Bihar, Bihar Secondary Education Office, Buddh Marg, Patna.
3. The Joint Director, Secondary Education, Department of Human Resource Development, Government of Bihar, Bihar Secondary Education Office, Buddh Marg, Patna.
4. The Dy. Director, Secondary Education, Department of Human Resource Development, Government of Bihar, Bihar Secondary Education Office, Buddh Marg, Patna.
5. The District Education Officer, Madhubani, at Madhubani.
6. The Principal, Suraj Narain Singh D.N. Gu, Boys High School, Madhubani.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rabindra Nath Kanth, Advocate
For the State : Mr. S. K. Ranjay, A.C. to G.P. 17

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 13-09-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and State.

2. The petitioner has moved the Court for the following reliefs:

“I. To pass appropriate order allowing continuity of service rendered by the petitioner as teacher in Middle School (Primary Section) with the service rendered by him as Assistant Teacher in Secondary School (Secondary Section) for the purpose of Pension and Gratuity.

II. To pass appropriate order for reckoning the service period rendered by the Petitioner in Middle School (Primary Section) from 17-5-1976 to 10-4-1985 with the service



period rendered by the petitioner in Secondary School from 11-4-1985 to 31-3-2012 for fixation of Pension and Gratuity.

III. To pass appropriate order for revision of Pension and Gratuity of the Petitioner after the aforesaid reckoning of entire service period from 17-5-1976 to 31-3-2012 as qualifying service and payment of the arrears of differential amount of Pension and Gratuity.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute that the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed.

4. In view thereof, the writ petition stands disposed off.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J.)

Anand Kr.

AFR/NAFR	
U	

