

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.907 of 2016

- =====
1. Binod Yadav son of Late Nathu Yadav
 2. Lilawati Devi wife of Binod Yadav Both resident of Village-Hirahiya,
Police Station-Rahul District-Nalanda

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through The Secretary, Department of Law And
Justice, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The District Magistrate, Biharsharif
3. The Sub-divisional Officer-Cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Biharsharif
4. Mr. Sunil Kumar son of Lakho Sao Resident of Village-Kathal Tola,
Police Station-Sohsarai, District-Nalanda

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. S.N.P. Sinha, Sr. Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Prabhat Kumar Verma (AAG-3)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

4. 13-08-2018

This writ application has been filed for quashing the order dated 11.03.2015 issued by the learned Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Biharsharif in Case No. 348(M)/15 under Section 145(6) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

At the outset, Sri S.N.P. Sinha, learned senior counsel representing the petitioners submits that now Annexure-3 to the writ application has already taken effect during pendency of the writ application and therefore this writ application has become infructuous. Learned counsel for the State is present.

Learned senior counsel submits that the application may be disposed of as having become infructuous with a liberty to the petitioner to raise the issues which she may have in respect of



the property before the competent court in accordance with law.

In the nature of the submissions made at the bar, let this application be disposed of as having become infructuous with the liberty aforesaid.

Rajeev/-

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

